







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/933,067	08/20/2001	Steve Brandstetter	P/94-1	6703
7:	590 01/08/2003			
WEISS & WEISS SUITE 305 500 OLD COUNTRY ROAD			EXAMINER	
			COBURN, CORBETT B	
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	

DATE MAILED: 01/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			8			
-÷	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/933,067	BRANDST	ETTER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Corbett B. Cobu	,				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cove	r sheet with the corresponde	ence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory mi will apply and will expire a cause the application	ever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be conside SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	e of this communication. 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)∏ Th	nis action is non-f	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from conside	ation.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		and to by the Everniner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce			1.85(a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pric application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ority documents h ureau (PCT Rule	ave been received in this N. 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language pr	ovisional applica	ion has been received.				
Attachment(s)	ao phonty under	30 3.0.0. 33 120 and or 12				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)	Notice of Informal Patent Applica				

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 12-14, 17 & 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Quinn (US Patent Number 3,688,276).
 - Claim 12: Quinn teaches a game machine (16) that is a gaming device i.e., it is a device used for gambling. The player puts in coins. (Col 4, 38-40) The machine counts the coins and the number of counted coins is shown to the player. (Col 4, 47-50) The number of coins needed for a ticket to be generated in shown to the player. (Col 4, 40-41) A ticket is dispensed when the number of counted coins equals the number of coins needed. (Col 2, 6-12)
 - Claim 13: The counting the coins is accomplished by counting coin pulses off of the machine's hard meter and the ticket is dispensed base on the number of coins deposited. (Col 2, 6-12)
 - Claim 14: The ticket is a lottery ticket. (Abstract)
 - Claim 17: The number of counted coins is set to zero once a ticket is dispensed. (Col 4, 47-50)
 - Claim 18: Quinn teaches using a remote unit to set the price of the ticket. (Col 1, 64 Col 2, 16)

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/933,067

Art Unit: 3714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6 & 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castellano et al. (US Patent Number 5,477,952) in view of Dabrowski (US Patent Number 5,544,728).
 - Claims 1: Castellano teaches a game machine (Col 13, 23). There is a counter for counting the number of coins a player has placed in the machine. (Col 6, 32-36) There is a means (the game machine's display) for showing the player when the ticket will be printed (i.e. when the player wins the game). There is a ticket dispenser (31). Castellano teaches that there is a readout for externally communicating the current coin count. (Col 6, 11-13) It is not clear, however, whether this readout is for visually displaying the number of coins to the player. Dabrowski teaches a visual display (126) for displaying the number of credits remaining (corresponding to the number of coins) to the player. This allows the player to see how many coins the player has inserted into the machine and how many are available for gambling. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a visual display of the number of coins entered in order to allow the player to see how many coins the player has inserted into the machine and how many are available for gambling.
 - Claim 3: The dispensing unit is placed inside the game machine. (Col 12, 22-28)

Art Unit: 3714

Claim 4: The dispensing unit is an add-on to any existing gaming machine and gaming device. (Col 16, 17-20)

Claim 6: The dispensing unit is a self-contained unit that does not affect the play or outcome of the game.

Claim 8: Fig 1 clearly shows four coin slots (21-24) that correspond to different denominations (i.e., nickel, dime, quarter, and dollar).

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castellano and Dabrowski as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bittner et al. (US Patent Number 5,290,033).

Claim 2: Castellano and Dabrowski teach the invention substantially as claimed.

Castellano does not, however, teach mounting the device on the side of the game machine. Bittner teaches mounting an analogous device (202) on the side of the gaming machine. Castellano teaches that the device can be used a as retrofit to existing game machines. In cases where the device did not fit within the game cabinet, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have attached the dispensing unit to the gaming machine as a side mounted box in order to retrofit a gaming machine that did not have room inside the gaming machine cabinet.

6. Claims 5 & 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castellano and Dabrowski as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Heidel et al. (US patent Number 5,342,047).

Claim 5: Castellano and Dabrowski teach the invention substantially as claimed.

Castellano teaches that the device may be attached to virtually any gaming machine.

Art Unit: 3714

(Col 16, 17-20) Dabrowski teaches attaching a device to a slot (i.e., gaming) machine. (Abstract) Castellano's invention is intended to detect and prevent fraud. (Abstract) Fraud is a significant problem in the gaming industry. Heidel teaches a game machine that can be used a number of different games. Heidel illustrates video poker (Fig 1) and video keno (Fig 2b). Video bingo is a well-known equivalent. Video poker, keno, and bingo are all extremely well known in the art. They are extremely popular with many players and, along with reel-type machines, form the backbone of the electronic gaming industry. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have applied Castellano's coin tracker to video poker, keno, and bingo machines in order to detect and prevent fraud.

Claim 9: Castellano and Dabrowski teach the invention substantially as claimed.

Castellano teaches printing a ticket as a reward, but does not teach that the ticket is a lottery ticket. Heidel teaches dispensing a lottery ticket. (Col 1, 10-18)

7. Claims 7, 10, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castellano and Dabrowski as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Piechowiak et al. (US Patent Number 6,012,982).

Claim 7: Castellano and Dabrowski teach the invention substantially as disclosed.

Castellano's Fig 3 shows the counter (12) counting pulses of the game machines hard meter (52). Castellano does not, however, teach awarding the player a bonus based on the number of coins played. Piechowiak teaches a game that awards a bonus based on a player reaching a certain coin-in threshold. (Abstract) Bonuses are well known to the art and are commonly used to increase player interest. It would have been obvious to one of

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/933,067

Art Unit: 3714

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have awarded the player a bonus based on the number of coins played in order to increase interest in the game.

Claim 10: Piechowiak teaches linking games so that a combination of devices must have a certain number of coins inserted before a bonus (ticket) is dispensed. (Abstract)

Claim 11: Piechowiak teaches that there is a remote unit (122) for changing the number of coins necessary to generate the ticket.

8. Claims 15 & 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quinn as applied to claim 12 or 14 in view of the Big Game Lottery.

Claims 15 & 16: Quinn teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Quinn teaches dispensing lottery tickets, but does not go into the mechanics of how lotteries operate.

Lotteries operate using well-known principles. The Big Game is merely one of a myriad of examples of lotteries. The winner of lotteries is determined by holding a drawing — i.e., by lot. The size of the lottery jackpot is based on the number of tickets sold. In other words, the bonus prize is based on a percentage of total coins placed into all participating gaming machines. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have chosen the winner of the lottery by a random drawing and to have based the jackpot on a percentage of total coins placed into the gaming machines in order to follow standard practice for running a lottery.

Response to Amendment

9. Acknowledgement is made of Applicant's amendment of the title and abstract. Examiner's objections to the specification are withdrawn.

Art Unit: 3714

10. Applicant's amendment of claim 11 overcomes the rejection under 35 USC §112, which is hereby withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

- 11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 12. With respect to claims 12-18, Applicant's arguments filed 21 October 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 13. Applicant argues that Quinn fails to anticipate the claims as amended because Quinn does not teach a gaming device. This is in error. Quinn teaches a device used for gaming or gambling. Players use Quinn's device to participate in a lottery.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Reference Name	US Patent Number	Applicability
Dickinson	5,951,397	Gaming machine with lottery ticket dispenser
Clapper, Jr.	5,810,664	Lottery ticket dispenser that is "slot machine"
Gabrius et al.	5,113,990	Currency acceptor with visual display

15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/933,067

Art Unit: 3714

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corbett B. Coburn whose telephone number is (703) 305-3319. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on (703) 308-1806. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

cbc

January 2, 2003

JESSICA HARRISON PRIMARY EXAMINER